

LON FULLER THE MORALITY OF LAW

LON FULLER'S MORALITY OF LAW: A DEEPER DIVE INTO LEGAL PHILOSOPHY

HAVE YOU EVER WONDERED IF A LAW, EVEN IF TECHNICALLY VALID, CAN STILL BE FUNDAMENTALLY WRONG? LON FULLER, A PROMINENT LEGAL PHILOSOPHER, CHALLENGED THIS VERY NOTION WITH HIS GROUNDBREAKING WORK EXPLORING THE MORALITY INHERENT IN THE LAW. THIS POST DELVES INTO THE INTRICACIES OF FULLER'S "MORALITY OF LAW," EXAMINING HIS KEY ARGUMENTS, CRITICISMS, AND THE LASTING IMPACT OF HIS THEORIES ON LEGAL THOUGHT. WE'LL EXPLORE HIS EIGHT DESIDERATA FOR A JUST LEGAL SYSTEM AND UNPACK THE ONGOING DEBATE SURROUNDING HIS IDEAS. PREPARE TO UNRAVEL THE COMPLEX RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LAW AND MORALITY—A CONVERSATION THAT CONTINUES TO RESONATE TODAY.

UNDERSTANDING LON FULLER'S "THE MORALITY OF LAW"

LON L. FULLER (1902-1978) WAS A RENOWNED AMERICAN LEGAL PHILOSOPHER WHOSE WORK SIGNIFICANTLY IMPACTED LEGAL POSITIVISM. UNLIKE LEGAL POSITIVISTS WHO STRICTLY SEPARATE LAW FROM MORALITY, ARGUING THAT A LAW'S VALIDITY DEPENDS SOLELY ON ITS PROPER ENACTMENT, FULLER POSITED THAT LAW POSSESSES AN INHERENT "INNER MORALITY." THIS INNER MORALITY ISN'T ABOUT THE CONTENT OF LAWS (E.G., WHETHER THEY PROTECT HUMAN RIGHTS), BUT RATHER THE PROCESSES BY WHICH THEY ARE CREATED AND IMPLEMENTED. HE BELIEVED THAT A SYSTEM FAILING TO MEET CERTAIN PROCEDURAL STANDARDS SIMPLY ISN'T A LEGAL SYSTEM AT ALL, REGARDLESS OF ITS STATED AIMS. HIS SEMINAL WORK, *THE MORALITY OF LAW*, LAYS OUT THIS COMPLEX ARGUMENT WITH REMARKABLE CLARITY AND DEPTH.

THE EIGHT DESIDERATA: PRINCIPLES OF LEGAL MORALITY

FULLER'S CORE ARGUMENT HINGES ON WHAT HE TERMED THE "EIGHT DESIDERATA" – ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR A LEGAL SYSTEM TO BE CONSIDERED TRULY JUST AND EFFECTIVE. THESE AREN'T ASPIRATIONAL IDEALS; FULLER ARGUED THEY ARE PROCEDURAL NECESSITIES FOR A SYSTEM TO FUNCTION AS LAW AT ALL. THESE DESIDERATA ARE:

1. **GENERALITY:** LAWS SHOULD BE GENERAL RULES, APPLICABLE TO BROAD CATEGORIES OF PEOPLE AND SITUATIONS, NOT ARBITRARY EDICTS AGAINST SPECIFIC INDIVIDUALS.
2. **PROMULGATION:** LAWS MUST BE PUBLICLY AVAILABLE AND ACCESSIBLE TO THOSE THEY GOVERN. CITIZENS CANNOT BE EXPECTED TO OBEY RULES THEY ARE UNAWARE OF.
3. **NON-RETROACTIVITY:** LAWS SHOULD GENERALLY APPLY PROSPECTIVELY, NOT RETROACTIVELY PUNISHING ACTIONS PERFORMED BEFORE THE LAW WAS ENACTED.
4. **CLARITY:** LAWS SHOULD BE UNDERSTANDABLE AND FREE FROM AMBIGUITY; CITIZENS MUST BE ABLE TO COMPREHEND THEIR OBLIGATIONS.
5. **NON-CONTRADICTION:** LAWS SHOULD NOT CONTRADICT EACH OTHER. A SYSTEM RIFE WITH CONFLICTING RULES IS INHERENTLY DYSFUNCTIONAL.
6. **POSSIBILITY OF COMPLIANCE:** LAWS SHOULDN'T IMPOSE IMPOSSIBLE DEMANDS ON CITIZENS. THEY MUST BE ACHIEVABLE WITHIN THE CAPABILITIES OF THOSE EXPECTED TO FOLLOW THEM.
7. **CONSTANCY (STABILITY):** LAWS SHOULDN'T BE FREQUENTLY ALTERED OR CAPRICIOUSLY CHANGED. STABILITY ALLOWS INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES TO PLAN AND MAKE INFORMED DECISIONS.
8. **CONGRUENCE BETWEEN OFFICIAL ACTION AND DECLARED RULE:** THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE LAW SHOULD ALIGN WITH THE LAW ITSELF. THERE SHOULD BE A FAIR AND CONSISTENT APPLICATION OF RULES WITHOUT ARBITRARY EXCEPTIONS.

FAILURE TO MEET EVEN ONE OF THESE DESIDERATA, FULLER ARGUED, SIGNIFICANTLY COMPROMISES THE SYSTEM'S LEGITIMACY AND ITS ABILITY TO FUNCTION AS A TRUE LEGAL SYSTEM. IT'S NOT SIMPLY A QUESTION OF FAIRNESS; IT'S A QUESTION OF WHETHER THE SYSTEM IS FUNCTIONING AS A SYSTEM OF LAW AT ALL.

THE "INTERNAL MORALITY" VS. THE "EXTERNAL MORALITY" OF LAW

IT'S CRUCIAL TO DIFFERENTIATE FULLER'S "INNER MORALITY" FROM WHAT HE TERMED "EXTERNAL MORALITY." THE EXTERNAL MORALITY OF LAW PERTAINS TO THE SUBSTANTIVE CONTENT OF THE LAW – ITS GOALS AND AIMS. THIS INVOLVES THE ETHICAL EVALUATION OF THE LAWS THEMSELVES, ASKING WHETHER THEY ARE JUST, EQUITABLE, AND PROMOTE THE COMMON GOOD. FULLER'S FOCUS, HOWEVER, WAS ON THE INTERNAL MORALITY, THE PROCEDURAL ASPECTS THAT MAKE A LEGAL SYSTEM CAPABLE OF ACHIEVING ITS GOALS, REGARDLESS OF WHAT THOSE GOALS MIGHT BE. EVEN A LAW WITH MORALLY REPREHENSIBLE AIMS CAN BE INTERNALLY MORAL IF IT ADHERES TO THE EIGHT DESIDERATA; CONVERSELY, A WELL-INTENTIONED LAW POORLY ENACTED IS LEGALLY FLAWED.

CRITICISMS OF FULLER'S THEORY

FULLER'S WORK HAS NOT BEEN WITHOUT ITS CRITICS. MANY ARGUE THAT HIS CRITERIA ARE TOO IDEALISTIC AND THAT MANY REAL-WORLD LEGAL SYSTEMS INHERENTLY FAIL TO MEET ALL EIGHT DESIDERATA. OTHERS CONTEND THAT HIS EMPHASIS ON PROCEDURE NEGLECTS THE CRITICAL IMPORTANCE OF THE SUBSTANTIVE CONTENT OF LAWS. A SYSTEM MIGHT FOLLOW ALL EIGHT DESIDERATA BUT STILL PRODUCE PROFOUNDLY UNJUST OUTCOMES. FOR INSTANCE, A PERFECTLY CRAFTED APARTHEID SYSTEM TECHNICALLY MEETS MANY OF FULLER'S CRITERIA, YET IT'S ETHICALLY ABHORRENT. THIS HIGHLIGHTS THE INHERENT TENSION BETWEEN FORMAL PROCEDURAL JUSTICE AND SUBSTANTIVE JUSTICE.

FURTHERMORE, SOME CRITICS POINT OUT THAT THE SEPARATION BETWEEN INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL MORALITY ISN'T ALWAYS AS CLEAR-CUT AS FULLER SUGGESTS. THE WAY A LAW IS DRAFTED AND IMPLEMENTED CAN SIGNIFICANTLY IMPACT ITS SUBSTANTIVE EFFECTS. A POORLY DRAFTED LAW MIGHT UNINTENTIONALLY DISCRIMINATE, EVEN IF IT OUTWARDLY ADHERES TO THE PRINCIPLES OF GENERALITY AND CLARITY.

THE LASTING INFLUENCE OF FULLER'S IDEAS

DESPITE THE CRITICISMS, FULLER'S WORK REMAINS HIGHLY INFLUENTIAL IN LEGAL PHILOSOPHY AND JURISPRUDENCE. HIS EMPHASIS ON PROCEDURAL JUSTICE AND THE IMPORTANCE OF CLEAR, CONSISTENT, AND ACCESSIBLE LEGAL SYSTEMS CONTINUES TO RESONATE WITH LEGAL SCHOLARS AND POLICYMAKERS. HIS IDEAS ARE PARTICULARLY RELEVANT IN DISCUSSIONS OF RULE OF LAW, DUE PROCESS, AND THE LEGITIMACY OF LEGAL SYSTEMS GLOBALLY. THE EIGHT DESIDERATA SERVE AS A VALUABLE FRAMEWORK FOR EVALUATING THE QUALITY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF LEGAL SYSTEMS WORLDWIDE, PROMPTING ONGOING CONVERSATIONS ABOUT IMPROVING ACCESS TO JUSTICE AND ENSURING FAIRNESS IN LEGAL PROCESSES. HIS WORK IS A TESTAMENT TO THE ENDURING NEED FOR CLEAR, ACCESSIBLE, AND JUST LEGAL SYSTEMS.

CONCLUSION

LON FULLER'S EXPLORATION OF THE MORALITY INHERENT IN LAW PROVIDES A CRUCIAL FRAMEWORK FOR ANALYZING THE EFFECTIVENESS AND LEGITIMACY OF ANY LEGAL SYSTEM. HIS EIGHT DESIDERATA, WHILE SUBJECT TO CRITICISM, OFFER A VITAL BENCHMARK FOR ASSESSING PROCEDURAL JUSTICE. UNDERSTANDING FULLER'S WORK IS ESSENTIAL FOR ANYONE INTERESTED IN LEGAL PHILOSOPHY, THE RULE OF LAW, AND THE ONGOING QUEST FOR A MORE JUST AND EQUITABLE LEGAL SYSTEM. HIS CONTRIBUTION TO LEGAL THEORY CONTINUES TO SPARK IMPORTANT CONVERSATIONS AND INFLUENCE LEGAL PRACTICE TODAY.

FAQs

1. WHAT IS THE MAIN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN FULLER'S INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL MORALITY OF LAW? INTERNAL MORALITY REFERS TO THE PROCEDURAL ASPECTS OF LAWMAKING AND ENFORCEMENT (E.G., CLARITY, CONSISTENCY), WHILE EXTERNAL MORALITY REFERS TO THE SUBSTANTIVE JUSTICE OR FAIRNESS OF THE LAWS THEMSELVES.

1. CAN A LAW BE TECHNICALLY VALID BUT STILL MORALLY WRONG ACCORDING TO FULLER? YES. A LAW CAN MEET ALL FORMAL REQUIREMENTS FOR VALIDITY BUT STILL BE UNJUST IN ITS CONTENT OR APPLICATION (EXTERNAL MORALITY).
1. HOW DO FULLER'S EIGHT DESIDERATA APPLY TO MODERN LEGAL SYSTEMS? THEY SERVE AS A CRITICAL FRAMEWORK FOR ASSESSING THE ACCESSIBILITY, CLARITY, AND FAIRNESS OF LEGAL PROCESSES AND THE RULE OF LAW IN ANY MODERN JURISDICTION.
1. WHAT ARE THE MAIN CRITICISMS LEVELED AGAINST FULLER'S THEORY? CRITICS ARGUE HIS EIGHT DESIDERATA ARE OVERLY IDEALISTIC, THAT THE INTERNAL/EXTERNAL MORALITY DISTINCTION IS BLURRED, AND THAT FOCUSING SOLELY ON PROCEDURE NEGLECTS THE CRUCIAL ISSUE OF SUBSTANTIVE JUSTICE.
1. HOW DOES FULLER'S WORK INFLUENCE CONTEMPORARY LEGAL DISCUSSIONS? HIS EMPHASIS ON PROCEDURAL JUSTICE AND THE IMPORTANCE OF CLEAR, CONSISTENT, AND ACCESSIBLE LEGAL SYSTEMS CONTINUES TO SHAPE DISCUSSIONS ON RULE OF LAW, DUE PROCESS, AND THE LEGITIMACY OF LEGAL SYSTEMS GLOBALLY.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

LON FULLER THE MORALITY OF LAW

[Lon Fuller The Morality Of Law](#)

Lon Fuller The Morality Of Law

Related Articles

- [livro profeta vinicius iracet](#)
- [massachusetts dental ethics and jurisprudence exam answers](#)
- [making america a history of the united states](#)

[Back to Home](#)